## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. CR 18 -60487 VC
Plaintiff, ) v. )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
ingere Edward Tung?	
Defendant. )	OCT 05 2018
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on under the Speedy Trial Act from 10,5,201 ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Couthe following factor(s):	8 to <u>folder</u> , 2018 and finds that the UFORNIA
Failure to grant a continuance would be likely t See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	o result in a miscarriage of justice.
	, or the existence of novel questions of fact the preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial
Failure to grant a continuance would deny the catalog into account the exercise of due diligence	
	ably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given aking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonal necessary for effective preparation, taking into See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	ably deny the defendant the reasonable time account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 16 5/18	JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney